



The Arizona Orchidist

Published monthly by
The Orchid Society of Arizona, Inc.
Founding Editor- Clarence S. Lindsten
1966
Volume 53
May 2015

NEXT OSA MEETING

The next regular society meeting will be

Monday, May 4th at 7:00 P.M.

Meetings are held at the

Training Center

at the

Arizona State Veteran Home

4141 S. Herrera Way, Phoenix.

(Formerly North 3rd Street)

OSA meetings are open to all

plant enthusiasts

Refreshments will be provided

Beverage-

Lou Ann Remeikis

Snacks -

Lou Ann and Julie

Refreshment Coordinators:

Barbara Parnell (602) 451-5952

Lou Ann Remeikis (602) 803-6889

Jo Anne Waddoups 480-654-9883

Grower on Call

Julie Rathbun

(602) 843-0223

jandfent@aol.com

Board Meeting

May 17 at 1 PM

at the home of Randy Ricardi

MAY PROGRAM

DVD – “Catching the Fak-a-habit”

Photos of the Fakahatchee Strand Preserve State Park

For those who have never visited the Preserve, this DVD gives you an armchair view of a plant-lover's paradise. You will see almost 50 varieties of orchids and 17 varieties of bromeliads. The DVD's producer and photographer, Jay Staton, lists the following bullet points on the cover of the DVD case: "orchid capital of the United States; bromeliad capital of the United States; fern capital of Florida; royal palm capital of the United States; largest strand swamp in the world; largest unit in the Florida park service."



Raffle Donors

Karen and John Barber, Gary Cauble, Iva Dobson,
Bob MacLeod, Julie Rathbun, Barbara Parnell,
Randy Ricardi, Wilella Stimmell, and Dean Toms

Thanks to all for your support of this important fundraiser.

IN THIS ISSUE

From The Presidents Desk
Community Service Report
Battle for the Amazon

Julie Rathbun Page 2
Wilella Stimmell Page 3
Jeff Tollefson Page 4



From the President's Desk

Julie Rathbun

At our April 6 meeting, we had excellent attendance which included several visitors. The program for our meeting was an educational, entertaining PowerPoint presentation by Gustavo Romero, and everyone enjoyed it. Gustavo prepared the program just for us! It included information about his field work in Venezuela and in Mexico (the Yucatan Peninsula). Until the violence is under control in Venezuela, he will continue working in Mexico.

During his stay in Phoenix, Gustavo was my house guest. I put him to work, and he seemed to enjoy working on the ceiling fans in my greenhouse. Aaron Hicks also helped Gustavo replace one of the fans. Thanks, Aaron! It's a rare treat to have male handyman helpers.

During a group lunch at the Farm at South Mountain, Lou Ann brought two of her Sugar Gliders, not to eat, but so that Gustavo could see a couple of her critters. He held one, and while he was holding it, it left a "deposit" on his wrist. That will be a memory that he won't soon forget!

We had an excellent raffle table. Thanks to those who answered the call for plant donations for our April raffle table. Keep the raffle table in mind for other months, too!

We have three community programs scheduled for May. The first one is on the west side of the valley (Mabel Padgett Elementary School in Goodyear) and two on the same day (at different libraries) in Gilbert. Dates, times of day, and addresses will be found elsewhere in this newsletter.

We would like to present in-house programs in which our members are interested. However, few if any of our membership renewals list any in-house topics that members would like to have as a topic for a monthly program. Those few who do list something on that line of our renewal form, simply write "any". That's not helpful; so the burden of ideas for in-house programs lies with board members. All members are free to submit ideas for in-house programs.. There are several ways to suggest topics: be more specific on your renewal form; phone or email me or contact any other board member listed in all of our newsletters; or attend a board meeting and suggest an idea for a program. Board meetings have always been open to all members; but in the past 10 years, I can count on one hand the number of non-board members who have attended a board meeting. **We need your ideas for programs for future in-house programs. Please help us help you.**

Enjoy our "spring" temperatures because our summer furnace weather will be here before summer officially begins!

See you on May 4!

Julie

Community Service Report and Schedule

Wilella Stimmell

On **April 8**, **Bob MacLeod, Barbara Parnell, Julie Rathbun, Dean Toms**, and **I** presented a **repotting program** for adults **at the Northwest Regional Library in Surprise**. Everyone who participated enjoyed our program and thanked us for sharing our knowledge with them. They also enjoyed examining our display plants. A few of the participants were already growing a couple of Phalaenopsis orchids which they received as gifts. Because each person received a culture sheets, we hope that the tips on the sheet will help them to get their orchids to rebloom.

This was the third program that we presented at the Northwest Regional Library. Previously, we presented programs in 2007 and 2008.

On **April 11**, **Bob MacLeod, Julie Rathbun, Dean Toms**, and **I** presented a **repotting program** for adults and students who were approximately 10 years of age, at **the Queen Creek Branch Library**. There were more adults than students, but the students seemed to understand our repotting instructions. Built in 2008, the library looks new and is a stunning blend of mixed styles of architecture. All participants thanked us for sharing our knowledge with them and also enjoyed an up close and personal view of our display plants. Our program was held in the Zane Grey Community Room.

Repotting programs scheduled for May

On **Monday, May 11, at 9:30 AM**, we will present a repotting program for Jamie Schmadeke's 3rd grade class at the **Mabel Padgett Elementary School in Goodyear**. For team members who might have misplaced their schedule, the address of the school is **15430 W. Turney**.

On **Wednesday, May 20**, we will present **2 programs on the same day at different libraries in Gilbert**. The **first program** will be held at **Perry Branch Library at 1 PM**. The address of the library is **1965 E. Queen Creek Rd**. The **second program** will be held at the **Southeast Regional Library at 6 PM**. The address of the library is **775 N. Greenfield Rd**.

After the first program, we will drive to the Southeast Regional Library where we will be permitted to store our display plants, seedlings, and potting supplies until it's time for the second program.

The Arizona Orchidist is published monthly by the
Orchid Society of Arizona, Inc.
Direct Inquiries to our website at:
www.orchidsocietyaz.org

Or to any of the Board Officers or Trustees:

Board of Directors for 2015

President	(602) 843-0223
Julie Rathbun	jandfent@aol.com
First Vice President	(623) 810-3967
Bob Macleod	
In House Program Chairman	
Second Vice President	(480) 785-2251
Joe Bacik	bacikj@cox.net
Outside Speaker Program Chairman	
Secretary	(602) 451-5952
Barbara Parnell	birdie552002@yahoo.com
Treasurer	(480) 947-8479
Wilella Stimmell	wilellas@att.net
Editor	(505) 898-0975
Keith Mead	orchidsinabq@gmail.com
Librarian	(602) 803-6889
Lou Ann Remeikis	lou.remeikis@gmail.com
Trustees	(602) 938-8427
Bob Blue	rblue@q.com
	(602) 276-0402
Terri Jackson	tjacksonj@aol.com
	(602) 615-0522
Randy Ricardi	rricard2@mac.com
	datoms@msn.com
Dean Toms	(602) 588-4028

The Orchid Society of Arizona, Inc. is a non-profit 501 (C) (3) organization dedicated to community service and the study of orchids. It is affiliated with the American Orchid Society, the Arizona Federation of Garden Clubs, Inc. , and The Nature Conservancy.

Stopping deforestation: Battle for the Amazon

Brazil has waged a successful war on tropical deforestation, and other countries are trying to follow its lead. but victory remains fragile.

Oziel Alves da Silva reins his horse to a stop near the edge of a pasture, and adjusts a baseball cap that has done little to protect his leathery skin from the tropical sun. Keeping an eye out for his herd, he surveys his 274-hectare ranch located in the eastern Amazonian state of Pará. Where he once dreamed of a vast open field covered with grasses and cattle, he sees nothing but palm trees that he cannot cut down. The 39-year-old rancher is one of thousands of Brazilian landowners stymied by a historic campaign to halt the destruction of the world's largest rainforest. He was fined 720,000 reais (US\$230,000) and banned from selling cattle after trying to clear this field in 2009. Now Alves da Silva is once again operating legally, and he has little hope of expanding his pasture and increasing his herd. Along with many fellow ranchers in the county of Brasil Novo, he has stopped cutting down trees and is trying to make peace with the law. "We came together and decided we needed to change," he says.

Over the past decade, while the world has been busy haggling over future commitments to reduce greenhouse-gas emissions, Brazil has lowered its carbon dioxide output more than any other country through a historic effort to slow forest loss. The deforestation rate here last year was roughly 75% below the average for 1996 to 2005 — just shy of Brazil's pledge to achieve an 80% reduction by 2020. The country has managed this feat while increasing the amount of food it produces, much of it for export to a growing and hungry world. Brazil's experience suggests that humanity has a chance to control agricultural expansion and preserve the planet's most diverse ecosystems. If other countries follow suit by protecting and expanding forests, which lock carbon up in trees and soils, they could slow the growth of global CO₂ emissions and buy the world some time to solve the thornier problem of curbing emissions from cars, power plants and industrial facilities.

"There is no question that Brazil has made a fundamental departure from the past," says Achim Steiner, executive director of the United Nations Environment Programme. "And it has given credence to the notion that forest conservation may be an important mechanism for international cooperation on climate." Although Brazil's downward trend in deforestation has been evident for nearly a decade, it is only in the past couple of years that researchers have pieced together how the country put the brakes on an epidemic of illegal development that has eliminated roughly 20% of the Brazilian Amazon over the past half century. Even today, the story varies depending on who is telling it. This is what drew me to the Brazilian Amazon for two months last year. I travelled throughout the region, talking to scientists, ranchers, politicians, loggers and members of indigenous tribes — all with the aim of understanding how Brazil altered its environmental trajectory and where it goes from here. Various factors conspired to curtail deforestation. The federal government designated areas in the Amazon basin for protection, cracked down on ranchers, farmers and land speculators, and put pressure on local governments, while environmentalists ramped up campaigns against companies that were exporting beef, leather and soya beans from illegally cleared land. States and communities recognized that their economies were at risk, which drove them to develop their own policies.

Brazil's success thus far offers potential lessons for other tropical countries where deforestation is on the rise, but the situation in the Amazon remains precarious. Enforcement has increased, but the basic factors driving deforestation — including poverty and the profitability of agricultural land — have not changed. Although the rate of land clearing in Brazil last year fell to its second lowest level since 1988, it had spiked in 2013, and some scientists expect another increase in 2015.

"Brazilians do not want deforestation," climate scientist Carlos Nobre told me when I visited him in Brasilia, where he was finishing his term as secretary for research and development at the Ministry of Science. But clearing and planting new land remains the primary force for economic growth in the Amazon, he says. "We do not yet have an alternative model."

Continued on page 5

INCENDIARY MEASURES

The battle against illegal deforestation in Brazil starts with satellite images of the land surface. Since 1988, researchers have been compiling high-resolution maps of the forest cover each year. *They obtain low-resolution images more frequently to spot fresh openings in the forest. Over the past decade, scientists have begun providing real-time information* to Brazil's environmental enforcement agency, the Brazilian Institute of Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA). In June last year, I joined an IBAMA team and its heavily armed police escort as they launched raids in southwestern Pará, which remains a hotbed for deforestation. We spent hours barreling down shoddy roads in search of fresh clearings seen on satellite imagery. One day, the team interrogated landowners, searched homes and confiscated guns and chainsaws, but did not find the suspicious spot. A second outing in a different area looked like it was going to end the same way, but towards evening the crew found a couple of trails off the road. We hiked 50 meters through the underbrush and the sky opened up over a field of felled trees. On the other side of the road was an encampment, complete with a large tarpaulin-covered A-frame, hammocks and a propane stove. The team promptly burned the camp to the ground, putting an end to that operation — at least for the moment. The culprits that IBAMA encounters on the ground are often bit players, but the government is also investigating criminals higher up the chain, who make money by speculating on illegally cleared land. After I left, last August, the agency cracked down on a crime syndicate in Pará, arresting 22 people. And in February, IBAMA announced the arrest of the “largest deforester of the Amazon”: Ezequiel Castanha, a businessman in Novo Progresso who allegedly headed the syndicate and had spent months on the run. Officials say that deforestation in the region has dropped by 65% since August.

The basic outline of this enforcement strategy emerged in 2004 under former environment minister Marina Silva, a lifelong environmentalist and candidate in last year's presidential elections. As minister, Silva tackled deforestation by strengthening IBAMA and bringing other government agencies on board. One key change she made was instituting a sophisticated system to root out corruption within IBAMA. In parallel, the environmental group Greenpeace increased public pressure on companies by documenting the link between soya-bean farming and deforestation in media campaigns in Brazil and internationally, which pushed supermarket chains and food companies such as McDonald's to declare a boycott on the purchase of illegally farmed soya. All of these changes helped to push the country's major exporters to sign a moratorium in 2006, banning the purchase of soya beans from recently cleared land. Two years later, IBAMA published a blacklist of counties with the highest deforestation rates. Areas on the list faced increased enforcement by IBAMA, and landowners encountered tighter standards when they tried to take out agricultural loans. Brasil Novo was on the inaugural list, and IBAMA quickly descended on ranchers such as Alves da Silva.

Brasil Novo has since reduced its deforestation rate and is one of the latest counties to make it off the blacklist, but it was a hard road, says Zelma Campos, the region's secretary of the environment. At a public meeting on land regulation in May last year, Campos told me that all ranchers — even law-abiding ones — had trouble marketing their beef when the blacklist came out. As a result, the local economy shrank and the tax base contracted, which undermined public services. Eventually, Brasil Novo's only slaughterhouse was shut down. “No one wants to invest in a municipality with environmental problems,” explained Campos. But this was just the beginning. In 2009, a 27-year-old federal prosecutor named Daniel Azeredo filed a lawsuit against various ranchers and 11 of the largest slaughterhouse operators in Pará, the state with the most deforestation in the Amazon. He warned major purchasers of beef and leather — including the supermarket chain Walmart, McDonald's and the Adidas clothing company — that they could be held accountable for marketing illegal products. Greenpeace mounted another international public-relations campaign, and the cattle industry in Pará briefly ground to a halt. For Azeredo, the fundamental problem was that nobody knew who owned what, which enabled outlaws to rule with violence. In a series of legal settlements, he pushed companies and local governments to support a rural land registry in Pará that was designed to help resolve conflicts over land ownership and allow the government to formally license agricultural operations. Greenpeace followed up by pushing major slaughterhouses into signing a moratorium — like the soya-bean companies had three years earlier — on the purchase of beef from recently deforested lands. The upshot is that the land registry has expanded from around 500 properties in 2009 to more than 112,000 today, covering 62% of the private land in the state. Deforestation in Pará has dropped by more than 57% over the same period. “This was huge,” says Paul Barreto, a senior scientist with the Amazon Institute of People and the Environment, an environmental group based in Pará's capital, Belém. “The lawsuit was against the big companies but in the end it brought along everyone.” In 2012, faced with rural protests over the new enforcement regime, the Brazilian Congress revised its forest code. The new law scaled back various forest protections and let some landowners off the hook for past deforestation, but it also created a national land registry that was designed to serve as the basis for federal land management. The move has triggered its own controversies. The soya-bean industry says that because the federal registry will enable the government to improve monitoring of landowners, the 2006 moratorium on sales is now unnecessary. But environmentalists argue that the registry is not ready. The debate has intensified questions about what caused the drop in deforestation, and what should come next.

Music blared as hundreds of people gathered last September for the opening of a fish-food factory in Brazil's Acre state. Some ventured into the afternoon sunlight for a tour of the fish ponds while VIPs visited the facility, which was built with the help of Danish engineers. Nearby, construction was under way on a fish-processing plant. Once finished, the US\$32-million complex could make Acre, on the border with Peru and Bolivia, a national powerhouse in aquaculture. Just as importantly for the state, which joined industry partners to invest in the facility, the plant could provide a source of protein that is an alternative to beef raised in cleared rainforest. Acre is a role model at a time when governments are looking for sustainable forms of development. The aquaculture complex is the latest in a series of green investments by the governing Workers' Party, which has long put forest protection and social justice on top of its agenda. "Acre really is the leader," says Steve Schwartzman, an anthropologist with the Environmental Defense Fund in Washington DC who has been working in the state since the 1980s. Although Acre remains relatively poor, Schwartzman says that agricultural production there is increasing, basic measures of social well-being are on the rise and economic development is growing faster than in neighboring states. "Clearly they are doing something right."

Building on its history, Acre's initial investment was in rubber, which can be sustainably harvested by rural residents. It also set up facilities to process and market Brazil nuts, another sustainable commodity. And it advanced its own system for mapping forests, calculating emissions and selling carbon credits for verified reductions in emissions from deforestation. The German Development Bank has already bought nearly 16 million euros' (US\$17.5 million) worth of carbon credits from Acre. The state is also pursuing a deal with California that would allow businesses there to purchase credits. Governor Tião Viana says that Acre has pursued an environmental vision, but it needs to show a return. Without sufficient investment from governments or companies, Viana says, the state's experiment will hit a wall as coffers dry up. "We need to do this together," he says. "We aren't looking for favours, we are looking for investments."

FORCES IN THE FOREST

Scientists have been looking into these questions, trying to pick apart the factors that influence deforestation. In a study published last year, a research team confirmed suspicions that broader economic forces — which reduced agricultural profitability a decade ago — deserve partial credit for the initial drop in deforestation. But deforestation rates remained low even when the economics improved; stricter enforcement and initiatives such as the moratoria seem to be why. "It's basically a diffusion of different instruments, some of which have gained traction," says lead author Daniel Nepstad, a tropical ecologist who heads the Earth Innovation Institute, an advocacy group based in San Francisco, California. "It's impossible to quantify any of these factors individually, but they are all pushing in the right direction." Holly Gibbs, a geographer at the University of Wisconsin–Madison, says it is possible to identify some of the more successful policies. She and her colleagues found that deforestation was higher in areas not covered by the soya-bean moratorium, including on properties that are already on the federal land registry. Unpublished results suggest that the beef moratorium has had a similar effect on ranchers, who fear being banned from markets if they clear land. "These moratoria are really leading to huge changes on the ground in Brazil," says Gibbs, and that raises questions about what will happen if the soya-bean moratorium is lifted as scheduled.



Brazilian soldiers investigate illegally deforested land in 2009 in Pará state in the eastern Amazon.

Brazilian officials nonetheless see the registry as the foundation for a new brand of land management. Government researchers are working on a monitoring system to classify and track different kinds of land use across the entire country as a complement to the national land registry. This could lead to an unprecedented capacity to track, study and promote better land use nationwide, they say. "If we are successful in implementing this, it's going to be a revolution," says Francisco Oliveira, who heads the forest enforcement programme at the Ministry of the Environment in Brasilia. Even if the registry is successful, a fundamental challenge remains. It is cheaper for landowners — and more profitable for rogue speculators — to slash and burn forest than to rejuvenate soils and replant fallowed fields. Brazil is looking for ways to tilt the balance by improving and expanding operations on tracts of land that have already been cleared, using an influx of money designated for forest protection. In 2008, Norway agreed to pay \$1 billion if Brazil successfully reduced deforestation and thus CO₂ emissions. It was the world's first large-scale demonstration of a strategy called REDD (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation). And Norwegian officials visited Brazil last month to talk about a second investment. "If we are successful in implementing this, it's going to be a revolution."

Brazil has dispersed more than \$150 million so far for projects on issues such as agricultural productivity, biodiversity research and land-use planning. But relatively little money has gone to landowners or programs that noticeably benefit them. "The farmers are sort of sitting there bewildered, because they are not getting the incentives they were promised," Nepstad says. He is working with major soya-bean and beef companies, as well as government officials, on an approach that would help farmers by rewarding those who meet key standards instead of punishing them for poor performance. Landowners in counties that reduce deforestation could get easier access to low-interest loans, for instance. This approach could also involve direct payments to counties and landowners. Brazil's experience could inform the rollout of an international REDD program created in 2013 under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Although it is a shadow of the plan that many had imagined, the basic idea remains the same: industrialized nations pay for carbon to be maintained or increased in trees and soils through better forest management. This approach has received more than \$7 billion from countries such as Norway, Germany, the United Kingdom and the United States. Much of that money has been invested in projects that are intended to demonstrate the idea and help governments to improve their forest-monitoring expertise. Last year, Brazil became the first country to submit its baseline forest assessment documenting deforestation to the United Nations. In December, five other countries announced their own submissions. Initial payments could begin as early as 2017. Although there are no current provisions for long-term funding, negotiators hope to secure money in a treaty that nations plan to sign in Paris this year. Brazil is hoping for some of that cash but is not counting on it; officials say that they will continue to focus on domestic efforts.

International attention is shifting now to Indonesia, which is clearing more forest than any other country. Norway has committed \$1 billion to the country if the government can demonstrate reductions in deforestation and emissions. Environmentalists are also transferring their experience in Brazil to Indonesia, and have extracted promises to tackle deforestation from various international corporations that are active in the palm-oil industry there. Scepticism remains about whether these strategies will succeed in Indonesia, which is building a monitoring and enforcement programme from scratch. But Nepstad points out that a decade ago, nobody would have believed Brazil was about to turn a corner. "There are seeds of what we saw in Brazil ten years ago in Indonesia today," Nepstad says.

FUTURE OF THE FOREST

Despite a decade of progress, the future of the Amazon rainforest remains uncertain. Some lawmakers want to scale back protected areas, and President Dilma Rousseff is encouraging investments in ports and hydroelectric dams, which could trigger more deforestation. Added to that is concern over the impacts of climate change, which threatens both the rainforest and existing crops. Paulo Moutinho, former executive director of the Amazon Environmental Research Institute in Brasilia, fears that the government is overlooking more obvious solutions, such as designating more land for permanent protection. "It's stupid," he says, "but there's a sense in Brasilia that we have too much protected area." Others are more sanguine. Back in Pará, Azeredo told me that Brazil's march towards law and order on the frontier is slowly paying off. With a little persistence, he says, the beef industry could achieve a reasonable level of compliance in several years' time. "We are creating a system of governance," he says. "Before, we didn't even know where to start." This is a message that ranchers such as Alves da Silva seem to have taken to heart. "Every day that passes, government enforcement is going to increase," he says. "It's only going to get harder to break the law." With little hope of expanding his operation, Alves da Silva concentrates on the herd at hand. He ropes and vaccinates a pair of newborn calves and then finishes for the day. As the light fades, we mount our horses and set off through his pasture. Behind us, the silhouette of the forest looms large.

OSA May 2015 Calendar

SUNDAY	MONDAY	TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	FRIDAY	SATURDAY
					1	2
3	4 OSA Meeting 7 PM	5	6	7	8  Barbara Parnell	9
10 Mother's Day	11	12	13	14	15  Tony Duran	16
17 Board Meeting 1PM @ Randy Ricardi's	18	19	20	21	22	23
24 / 31	25  Memorial Day	26  Cece Blue	27	28	29	30



Orchid Society of Arizona

c/o Keith Mead
5425 Thomas Drive NE
Albuquerque, NM 87111

May 2015 Newsletter